A NEW DETERMINATION OF THE ABSOLUTE CONFIGURATION OF THE CHIRAL AMINE

Yoshimitsu Nagao, Masahiro Yagi, Takao Ikeda, and Eiichi Fujita* Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto-Fu 611, Japan

Summary: A new method for assignment of the absolute configuration of the asymmetric carbon atom attached to an amino or imino group using rac.-3-hexadecanoyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-1,3-thiazolidine-2-thione (rac.-HDMTT) (4) is described.

In the preceding paper,¹⁾ we reported a new synthetic method for the optically active amide 3 utilizing chiral recognition of racemic amine 2 with 3-acyl-4(R)-methoxycarbonyl-1,3thiazolidine-2-thione [4(R)-AMTT] 1. In this reaction, 4(R)-AMTT 1 showed a preferential reactivity to the (S)-amine. This chiral recognition should be available for determination of the absolute configuration of the chiral amine.



Our method is explicable as follows. After aminolysis of 2 mol. equiv. of rac.-3-hexadecanoyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-1,3-thiazolidine-2-thione [rac.-HDMTT] $(4)^{2}$ with 1 mol. equiv. of optically active amine (or imine) 5, the specific rotation of the recovered HDMTT (6) is determined. By the sign of its specific rotation the absolute configuration of the amine (or imine) used can be assigned.



Scheme 1

Thus we tried aminolyses of rac.-HDMTT (4) with several types of amines, *i.e.* α -amino acid derivatives $7a\sim1$, β -amino alcohols $8a\simd$, and 3-amino- β -lactams 9a,b and $10a\sime$, in order to judge the availability of this method.

a : $R^1 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^2 = Ph$, $R^3 = OMe$ b : $R^1 = CH_2Ph$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ c : $R^1 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^2 = CH_3$, $R^3 = OMe$ d : $R^1 = CH_3$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ e : $R^1 = CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ f : $R^1 = CH_2OH$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ g : $R^1 = CH_2SH$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ h : $R^1 = CH_3$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ h : $R^1 = CH_3$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = NHCH_2CO_2H$ i : $R^1 = CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$, $R^2 = NH_2$, $R^3 = NHCH_2CO_2H$ j : $R^1 = CH_2SSCH_2CH(NH_2 \cdot HC1)CO_2Me$, $R^2 = NH_2 \cdot HC1$, $R^3 = OMe$ k : $R^1 = CH_2Ph$, $R^2 = NH_2$, $R^3 = OH$ j : $R^1 = -(CH_2)_3 - R^2$, $R^2 = -NH_-$, $R^3 = OH$



 R^{1}

a : $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{NH}_2$, $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{H}$ $\tilde{\tilde{b}}$: $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{NH}_2 \cdot \mathbb{T}SOH$, $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{CHPh}_2$



a :
$$X = S$$
, $R^{1} = NH_{2}$, $R^{2} = R^{3} = R^{4} = H$
 \tilde{b} : $X = 0$, $R^{1} = NH_{2} \cdot HC1$,
 $R^{2} = R^{4} = H$, $R^{3} = CHPh_{2}$
c : $X = 0$, $R^{1} = R^{4} = H$,
 $R^{2} = NH_{2}$, $R^{3} = CHPh_{2}$
d : $X = 0$, $R^{1} = NH_{2}$, $R^{2} = H$
 $R^{3}=CHPh_{2}$, $R^{4}= -S - \bigvee_{\substack{N=N \\ CH_{3}}}^{N-N}$
e : $X = 0$, $R^{1} = H$, $R^{2} = NH_{2} \cdot HC1$
 $R^{3}=CHPh_{2}$, $R^{4}= -S - \bigvee_{\substack{N=N \\ N=N}}^{N-N}$
 $R^{3}=CHPh_{2}$, $R^{4}= -S - \bigvee_{\substack{N=N \\ N=N}}^{N-N}$

The results are summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

In the case of α -amino acid derivatives, the (S)-enantiomer reacted with 4(R)-HDMTT preferentially and *vice versa* [(R)-7+4(S)-HDMTT], which is in good coincidence with the chiral recognition of racemic amine with 4(R)-AMTT 1. Apparent opposite chiral recognitions with 7g and 7j are attributed only to the R,S sequence rule by Cahn, Ingold, and Prelog.³⁾

In the β -amino alcohol derivatives, the (S)-enantiomer showed a preferential reactivity to 4(S)-HDMTT resulting in the recovery of 4(R)-HDMTT.

compou R	nd Z or S	reaction solvent	reaction time (hr)	ر (%) (recovered HDMTT 6 R or S excess	ee ^{c)} (%)
7a	R	CH ₂ Cl ₂	13	99.4	R(-)	60.5
7b	S	CH2C12	8	92.1	S(+)	63.3
~~ 7c	R	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.5	95.2	R(-)	42.3
∼~ 7d	S	CH2C12	1.5	91.6	S(+)	44.2
7e	S	CH2C12	3.3	88.8	S(+)	45.6
7f	S	CH ₂ Cl ₂	4	90.8	S(+)	23.7
~~ 7g	R	CH2C12	1.5	44.3	S(+)	33.8
7h	S	CH2Cl2-THF (1:1)	144	150.1	S(+)	8.4
7i	S	CH2Cl2-THF (1:1)	6	99.1	S(+)	25.4
7j ^{d)}	R	CH2C12	2	110.8	S(+)	33.7
7ĸ	S	THF	44	91.6	S(+)	11.4
71 71	S	CH2Cl2-THF (1:1)	17	89.8	S(+)	21.8

Table 1. Chiral Recognition of *rac.*-HDMTT (4) with α -Amino Acid Derivative 7

a) All reactions were carried out in the presence of Et_3N (1.42 mol. equiv. to compound Z). b) The maximum chemical yield (cy) of recovery of HDMTT is calculated as 200%. c) Enantiomeric excess percent (ee%) of the recovered HDMTT and the configuration of C-4 were determined based on 4(R)-HDMTT []: R = (CH₂)₁₄CH₃].²) d) 4 Mol. equiv. of *rac.*-HDMTT 4 were employed.

compou F	ind <u>8</u> { or S	reaction time (hr)	ر دىر _{P)} (%)	recovered HDMTT R or S excess	6 ee ^{b)} (%)
8a	S	1.5	91.4	R(-)	11.1
8b	S	7	87.6	R(-)	19.2
°∼ 8c	R	5.8	93 4	S(+)	40.4
8d	S	4.5	93.5	R(-)	7.3

Table 2. Chiral Recognition of rac.-HDMTT (4) with β -Amino Alcohol 8^a)

a) All reactions were carried out in CH_2Cl_2 . b) See footnotes on Table 1.

Table 3. Chiral Recognition of racHDMTT	(4)) with 3	3-Amino-	B-lactams	9	and	10
---	-----	----------	----------	-----------	---	-----	----

			~	~	~~	
compound 9,10 configuration		reaction solvent	reaction time (day)	cy ^{c)} (%)	ecovered HDMTT 6, R or S excess	ee ^{c)} (%)
9a	_R a) _β b)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ -THF (1.1)	1.1	107.4	R(-)	32.9
$\tilde{9b}^{d}$	Rβ	CH2C12	3	117.2	R(-)	15.3
$10a^{d}$	Rβ	CH2C12	6	141.5	R(-)	3.2
ĩõ̃b ^d)	Sβ	CH2C12	4	136.6	R(-)	9.3
10c	Ra	CH ₂ Cl ₂	2.7	111.0	S(+)	7.9
ĩõd	Sβ	CH2C12	4	185.0	R(-)	0.4
$\tilde{10e}^{d}$	Ra	CH2Cl2	4	164.1	S(+)	10.5

a) Configuration of the carbon atom attached to the amino group. b) Relative configuration of the amino group. c) See footnotes on Table 1. d) 1.0-1.4 Mol. equiv. of Et₃N was used.

In the 3-amino- β -lactams,⁴⁾ (R)-penam-, (R)-cephem-, and (S)-oxacephem-derivatives showed a preferential reactivity to 4(S)-HDMTT; (R)-oxacephem-derivatives reacted predominantly with 4(R)-HDMTT.

On the basis of these results, the absolute configuration of chiral amines (or imines) can be determined, as summarized below.

	preferred configuration (R or S) of the recovered HDMTT (6)	the absolute configuration of the optically active sample
(l) α-amino acid	R(-)	> R
derivatives:	S(+)	> S
	sulfur compounds $\left\{ R(-) - R(-) \right\}$	> S
	The $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ $\int \left(S(+) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(S(+) - \frac{1}{2} \right$	→ R
(2) β-amino alcohol derivatives:	R(-)	> s
der ivatives.	S(+)	→ R
(3) 3-amino-β-lactam derivatives:	oxacephem and oxapenam S(+)	> S
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{cephem} \\ \text{and} \\ \text{penam} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} R(-) & \\ S(+) & \end{array} \right\}$	→ R → S

Because HDMTT is yellow crystal, one can easily monitor it on its separation by the column chromatography.

This convenient method for determination of the absolute configuration of the chiral amines will promise a wide utility.

References and Notes

- 1) Y. Nagao, M. Yagi, T. Ikeda, and E. Fujita, the preceding paper.
- 2) Because 4(R)-HDMTT [1: R = $(CH_2)_{14}CH_3$] showed the highest specific rotation $[[\alpha]_D^{2^2}-78.17^\circ$ (c = 1.02, CHCl₃] among several 4(R)-AMTT 1¹, *rac*.-HDMTT (4) (mp 74.5~75°, yellow needles from ether-n-hexane) was employed for this purpose.
- 3) R. S. Cahn, C. K. Ingold, and V. Prelog, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 5, 385 (1966).
- 4) We appreciate Dr. W. Nagata, Shionogi Research Laboratory, Shionogi & Co. Ltd., for his kind gifts of β -lactams, 9a and 10a \sim e.

(Received in Japan 19 September 1981)